



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/665,465	09/22/2003	Daisuke Hata	242654US2CONT	5514		
	590 12/28/2006 K, MCCLELLAND, N	EXAM	EXAMINER			
1940 DUKE STR	REET	KHAN, USMAN A				
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2622			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MON	THS	12/28/2006	PA	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/665,46	5	HATA, DAISUKE			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
			an	2622			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the	cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	ldress		
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by streply received by the Office later than three months after the med patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ODATE OF THE R 1.136(a). In no even riod will apply and will atute, cause the apple	IS COMMUNICATION Int, however, may a reply be tire Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	2 September 2	003.				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
<i>,</i>	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	on of Claims	•					
·		ion					
•	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the applicat		noideration /				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	urawii iroiii coi	isideration.				
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.				,		
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or alastian r	auiromont				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/of election is	equirement.	,			
Applicat	on Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 22 August 2003 is/a	ire: a)⊠ accej	oted or b) objected	to by the Examine	er.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2)	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date) 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 09/22/2003 and 12/22/2003 have been considered by the examiner. The submission is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97.

Specification

The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: Electronic still camera comprising auto focusing and flashing means in synchronism with a sampling time of the auto focus.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Application/Control Number: 10/665,465

Art Unit: 2622

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1 - 12 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 - 12 of U.S. Patent No. 6,700,614 because claims 1 - 12 in the current application are drawn to features that encompass a broader scope than corresponding patent claims 1 - 12, and, therefore, are merely an obvious variation of the same.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1 – 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. As understood by the examiner, application 10/668,904 is aimed at auto focusing without the need for the light from the flash to stay substantially constant whereas the parent application from which this application

Application/Control Number: 10/665,465

Art Unit: 2622

depends, i.e. application 09/404,546 now patent 6,700,614, it is a essential concept of the invention to keep the light from the flash to stay substantially constant as described through out the specification of the parent application 09/404,546. For example in column 1, lines 54 - column 2, line 14 applicant discloses it is disadvantageous to have a varying amount of light from the flash and in column 8 lines 5 *et seq.*, in column 10 lines 36 *et seq.*, and throughout the application is described that the use of a constant light from a flash is advantageous for auto focusing and sampling time of the focus and is an essential concept of the invention. The broadening of the claims lacks support in the original disclosure as described in MPEP 2163.05. Appropriate action is required.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Isoguchi et al. (US patent No. 4,881,127) teaches a time value signal which can be used to control various components of the camera such as flashing of the light and focusing light.

Kuno et al. (US Patent No. 4,771,307) teaches a camera that does calculation of the distance a flash reaches so the auto focusing can be adjusted accordingly.

Takahata et al. (US Patent No. 6,108,495) teaches a camera that does calculation of the distance a flash reaches so the auto focusing can be adjusted accordingly.

Mizoguchi (US Patent No. 6,342,922) teaches a camera that does calculation of the distance of an object so the auto focusing can be adjusted accordingly.

Application/Control Number: 10/665,465

Art Unit: 2622

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

Page 5

examiner should be directed to Usman Khan whose telephone number is (571) 270-

1131. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thru 6:45-4:15; Fri 6:45-3:15 or

Alt. Fri off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Usman Khan 12/14/2006

Patent Examiner

Art Unit 2622

DAVID OMETZ

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER